The Law Office of Gerald T. Gavin 3880 Stockton Hill Road Suite 103-450 Kingman Arizona 86409 ((928)530-0948 480) 233-6038 geraldeavinlaw@email.com

r - *	r *		$C^{(a)}$	
2000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000 5000	1	Gerald T. Gavin	FILED	
	2	State Bar #013842 Ron Gilleo	BY: K	
	3	State Bar # 016928 3880 Stockton Hill Road STE 103-450	2015 MAY 12 PM 2: 47	
	4	Kingman Arizona 86409	VIRLYNN TINNELL SUPERIOR COURT CLERK	
	5	Email: geraldgavinlaw@gmail.com (928) 530-0948 / (480) 233 -6038	- JOHN GLERK	
	6	Attorneys for Justin James Rector		
	7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT	T OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
	8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE		
	9	STATE OF ARIZONA,	)	
	10	Plaintiff,	) NO: CR 2014-01193 )	
	11	Vs.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DELAY ANY MENTAL HEALTH, I.Q., OR	
	12		RELATED TESTING until MR.	
	13	JUSTIN JAMES RECTOR	RECTOR'S MEDICAL, MENTAL HEALTH AND TREATMENT RECORDS	
	14	Defendant.	OCAN BE GATHERERED/ OBJECTION OTO ANY SUCH TESTING AT THIS TIME	
	15		) (ASSIGNED TO THE HON. LEE JANTZEN)	
	16			
	17	Defendant Justin James Rector, by and through undersigned counsel OBJECTS		
	18	to any physical, mental health, I.Q., or any such testing, at this particular time, for the		
	19	reasons contained in the Memorandum atta	ched hereto and incorporated herein.	
	20			
	21	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED Thisday of May, 2015.		
	22			
	23	1		
	24	MILL	12 OMILLA	
	25	GERALD T. GAVIN Co-Counsel for Mr. Rector	RON GILLEO Co-Counsel for Mr. Rector	
	26		CO COURSE TO MIL NECTOR	



1000 组

## MEMORANDUM

The use of Mental Health Exams, at this particular time, will deny Mr. Rector's

Due Process under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States

Constitution and Article II, §4 of the Arizona Constitution; violate his right to confront witnesses under the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and Article II, §

24 of the Arizona Constitution

The Defendant has made it clear to the Court and State that at a time in the future Mental Health exams....including I.Q. Testing....will be performed and will likely be part of the Defense proffered by his team. The Court seemed to indicate at the hearing on May 6<sup>th</sup>, 2015, at the behest of the State, that I.Q. testing will take place in the near future. For the record...any such testing ordered, before the Mitigation Expert for the defense has a chance to gather most, if not all, of Mr. Rectors Health Records, including physical and MENTAL AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT RECORDS, IS DONE OVER THE STRIDENT OBJECTIONS OF THE DEFENSE.

There is no overriding legal or medical reason to order testing at this time. There is, however, *every reason* to exercise caution, and ensure the veracity and accuracy of such testing, by providing all mental health experts a complete copy of the health records gathered on Mr. Rector's behalf, so they may possibly adjust testing, account for anomalies, order specific tests or conditions of testing, and aid in interpreting and reviewing the results of testing. Incorrect tests, or incorrect interpretations of results, has the potential to eviscerate possible defenses, and cost Mr. Rector his life.

The defense simply asks that our recently appointed Mitigation Expert Rennee DeSaye be given the opportunity to gather these materials and make them available for experts.

Í

The State and Court offered no explanation as to why this testing is being rushed, and potentially ordered over Defense objection. Present defense counsel has been on the case a little over 2 months; the defense has not lingered or wasted time, as evidenced by the number of pretrial motions already filed by present counsel.

Counsel does not comprehend the benefits of rushing this prosecution "to keep it moving". Mistakes at this stage, especially forced ones for expediency sake, is not in the interest of justice, judicial economy, the victims and the defendant. It is a recipe for mandating this matter be repeated, doubling or more the costs of litigation. Any conviction will likely face reversal, and vacating any conviction, and subjecting the victims and Mr. Rector to an unreasonable emotional rollercoaster. It weakens confidence in the judiciary, and conveys that pushing cases through the system is the goal, not getting a fair and just result and insuring any death sentence has been rendered after a thorough defense and vetting of all possible facts a jury should consider.

The defendant objects to any mental health, I.Q, or any medical testing until Mr. Rector's personal health records are located, gathered, reviewed and assembled. Experts should have those available before any testing is ordered to begin. Any testing ordered is over the objection of the defense.

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this [ day of May, 2015 with:	
3	Clerk of Court	
4	401 E Spring Street Kingman Arizona 86401	
5		
6		
7	COPY of the forgoing Delivered this John day Of May, 2015, to:	
8		
9	Honorable Lee Jantzen	
10	Judge of the Superior Court Mohave County Courthouse 2 <sup>nd</sup> floor	
11		
12	Kingman Arizona 86401	
13	Greg McPhillips Assigned Deputy County Attorney	
14	PO Box 7000 Kingman Arizona 86401	
15	Ron Gilleo	
16	Mohave County Legal Defender	
17	Co-Counsel for Justin James Rector 313 Pine Street	
18	PO Box 7000 Kingman Arizona 86401	
19	Client Justin James Rector	
20	Mohave County Jail	
21	File	
22		
23	DV.	
24	DT.	
25		
26		
27		