The Law Office of Gerald T. Gavin 3880 Stockton Hill Road Suite 103-450 Kingman Arizona 86409 ((928)530-0948 480) 233-6038 geraldgavinlaw@email.com

z`	3		(
((928)550-0948 480) 233-6038 eeraldeavinlaw@email.com	1	Gerald T. Gavin	FILED	
	2	State Bar #013842 Ron Gilleo	8Y: <u>4s</u>	
	3	State Bar # 016928	2015 MAY 12 PM 2: 47	
	4	3880 Stockton Hill Road STE 103-450 Kingman Arizona 86409	VIRLYNN TINNELL	
	5	Email: geraldgavinlaw@gmail.com (928) 530-0948 / (480) 233 -6038	SUPERIOR COURT CLERK	
	6	Attorneys for Justin James Rector		
	7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA		
	8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE		
	9	STATE OF ARIZONA,)	
	10	Plaintiff,	NO: CR 2014-01193	
	11			
	12	VS.	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO PRECLUDE VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS	
	13	JUSTIN JAMES RECTOR) /	
	14	Defendant.	(ASSIGNED TO THE HON. LEE JANTZEN)	
	15		^	
	16	Defendant Justin James Rector, by and through undersigned counsel, moves the		
	17 18	Court for an order precluding witnesses from making statements to the jury about the		
	19	impact of the victim's death on the witnesses, for the reasons contained in the		
	20	Memorandum attached hereto and incorporated herein.		
	21	•		
	22	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED This	day of May, 2015	
	23		<u> </u>	
	24			
	25	weether men	(d) Miller	
	26	GERALD T. GAVIN	RON GILLEO	
	27	Co-Counsel for Mr. Rector	Co-Counsel for Mr. Rector	
	28	S8015CR201401	C	
		-	1-	

The use of Victim Impact Statements give the State an unfair advantage, and should not be permitted. Allowing such statements to be made will deny Mr. Rector's Due Process under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article II, §4 of the Arizona Constitution; violate his right to confront witnesses under the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and Article II, § 24 of the Arizona Constitution; and render any resulting death sentence as arbitrary and capricious in violation of the Eight Amendment to the United States Constitution, and Article II, § 15 of the Arizona Constitution.

First, the impact of the victim's death on the witness is not relevant to any matter the jury is allowed to consider under Arizona's Death Penalty Scheme. Arizona has made the choice that the trier of fact (currently the jury) shall only consider the aggravating factors listed in A.R.S. §13-703(F). Because victim impact testimony does not tend to establish any of those factors, it is irrelevant for purposes of establishing aggravation. State v. Mann, 188 Ariz. 220, 228, 934 P.2d 784 (Ariz. 1997); State v. 910 P.2d 635, 653 (Ariz. 1996); State v. Gulbrandson, 184 Ariz. 46, 66, 906 P.2d 579, 599 (Ariz. 1995). On the flip side, nor is it relevant regarding the defendant's mitigation presentation. The impact of the victim's death on the witness does not rebut mitigation offered by a capital defendant, because mitigation evidence concerns the defendant and the offense, not the victim or his/her surviving family or friends.

Although the United State Supreme Court in <u>Payne</u> used the "circumstances of the capital crime" umbrella to justify the admission of victim impact evidence, allowing admission of background facts or circumstances that are consequences of the crime, but not part of the crime itself, distorts the meaning of the phrase and raises the specter of arbitrary and capricious application of this factor. Indeed, in <u>State v. Greenway</u>, the

Arizona Supreme ourt discussed the fact that victim impact statements should not be considered for capital sentencing, but could be considered only in regard to other counts for which a capital defendant was being sentenced. 170 Ariz. 155, 163, 823 P.2d 22 (1991). In short, Arizona law provides not guidance regarding the role of victim impact statements in the jury's decision-making process.

Second, and equally as important, Arizona's Death Penalty Scheme does not expressly provide a capital defendant with the right to cross-examine surviving victim witnesses about their statements. As such, the Defendant is deprived of the opportunity to confront the witnesses against him.

For these reasons, Defendant requests that the Court preclude family and friends of the victim from addressing the jury about the impact of the victim's death on the witness.

ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this [2/ day of May, 2015 with: 2 3 Clerk of Court 401 E Spring Street 4 Kingman Arizona 86401 5 6 COPY of the forgoing 7 Delivered this 12 day Of May, 2015, to: 8 9 Honorable Lee Jantzen Judge of the Superior Court 10 Mohave County Courthouse 2nd floor 11 Kingman Arizona 86401 12 Greg McPhillips 13 Assigned Deputy County Attorney PO Box 7000 14 Kingman Arizona 86401 15 Ron Gilleo Mohave County Legal Defender 16 Co-Counsel for Justin James Rector 17 313 Pine Street PO Box 7000 18 Kingman Arizona 86401 19 Client Justin James Rector Mohave County Jail 20 21 File 22 23 24 25 26

27

28