FILED Gerald T. Gavin State Bar #013842 2 Ron Gilleo 2015 OCT 14 AM 11:53 State Bar # 016928 3880 Stockton Hill Road STE 103-450 VIRLYNN TINNELL Kingman Arizona 86409 4 SUPERIOR COURT CLERK Email: geraldgavinlaw@gmail.com 5 (928) 530-0948 / (480) 233 -6038 Attorneys for Justin James Rector 6 7 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 8 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MOHAVE 9 STATE OF ARIZONA, 10 NO: CR 2014 - 01193 Plaintiff, 11 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR COURT VS. TO PLACE ON THE RECORD ITS 12 **REASONING/ RATIONALE FOR REJECTION OF DEFENSE** 13 JUSTIN JAMES RECTOR **OBJECTIONS AT TRIAL** 14 Defendant. (ASSIGNED TO THE HON, LEE JANTZEN) 15 16 Defendant Justin James Rector, by and through undersigned counsel, moves 17 this court to memorialize, on the record, its reasons and rationales for overruling any 18 defense objections during the trial in this matter. An accurate record is necessary for all 19 parties in the event potential appellate or post-conviction review becomes necessary. 20 This is requested for the reasons and authority contained in the Memorandum of Point 21 22 and Authorities attached hereto and incorporated herein. 23 24 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED This 25 26 27 ounsel for Mr./Rector o-Counsel for Mr. Rector

S8015CR201401193

-1-

经设施

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITES

As mentioned previously, given this is a capital case, a complete and accurate record is essential for all parties in this case. There exists the real potential that many future lawyers and judges will review what takes place at this trial level; to avoid guessing, or inaccuracy about what is taking place for Mr. Rector, it is necessary those courts understand the basis for legal rulings that will impact the outcome of this life and death endeavor. The defendant will very likely make objections at trial; the court will obviously sustain or overrule those objections. The legal reasoning behind the court's decision must be made part of the record. To do otherwise leads reviewing authority to speculate about reasoning, and may lead to erroneous results about the legal basis for addressing objections. The trial record should be as complete as possible; allowing gaps is opening the door to ineffective assistance of counsel claims, and thwarts the underlying justice all parties rely on. Without a complete record, [the] Defendant could not exercise his right to appeal in any meaningful way. Evitts v. Lacey, 469 U.S. 387, 401 (1985). The Defendant, in an attempt to later save his life, cannot have his appeal fairly and fully adjudicated on a partial record. See also Douglas v. California, 372 U.S. 353 (1963). Trial counsel must ensure that the record of every stage of proceedings is complete. 2003 American Bar Association Guidelines for the Appointment and Performance of Defense Counsel in Death Penalty Cases 10.7(B)(2). As the United States Supreme Court's jurisprudence has made evident, death is different; for that reason, more due process is due, not less. See Lockett v. Ohio, 438 U.S. 586, 605 (1978); Woodson v. North Carolina, 428 U.S. 280, 305 (1976) (plurality opinion). This is all the more so when a petitioner's life interest, protected by the "life, liberty, and property" language in the Due Process Clause, is at stake in the proceeding. Ohios

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

2728

-2-

11

Adult Parole Authority v. Woodard, 523 U.S. 272, 288 (1398) (O'Conner, Souter, Ginsberg and Breyer, J.J. concurring) *Id.* at 291 (Stevens, J. dissenting)(recognizing a distinct, continuing life interest protected by the Due Process Clause in capital cases). All measures must be taken to prevent arbitrary, cruel and unusual results in a capital trial. See Lockett, 438 U.S. at 604, Woodson, 428 U.S. at 304-305.

Justin Rector cannot be constitutionally burdened with the duty to object, but denied the opportunity to explain the basis therefore and to receive a detailed ruling from this Court. Defendant is entitled to Federal and State Constitutional rights to effective assistance of counsel, due process of law, equal protection of the law, confrontation of the State's evidence, and freedom from cruel and unusual punishment. United States Constitution Article I, §§ V, VI, VIII, and XIV; and analogous Article II, §§ 4, 10, 15, and 24 of the Constitution of Arizona.

2	this day of October, 2015 with:
3	Clerk of Court 401 E Spring Street Kingman Arizona 86401
4	
5	
6	CODY of the formain of
7	COPY of the forgoing Delivered thisday
8	Of October, 2015, to:
9	Honorable Lee Jantzen
10	Judge of the Superior Court Mohave County Courthouse
11	2 nd floor Kingman Arizona 86401
12	
13	Greg McPhillips Assigned Deputy County Attorney
14	PO Box 7000 Kingman Arizona 86401
15	Ron Gilleo
16	Mohave County Legal Defender Co-Counsel for Justin James Rector
17	313 Pine Street PO Box 7000
18	Kingman Arizona 86401
19	Client Justin James Rector
20	Mohave County Jail
21	File
22	
23	BY:
24	
25	

26

27

28